

Dependence of Spiral Galaxy Distribution on Viewing Angle in RC3 ^{*}

MA Jun^{1,2,3,4,5}, SONG Guo-xuan^{1,2,4} and SHU Cheng-gang^{1,2,4}

¹ Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Shanghai 200030

² National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing 100012

³ Chinese Academy of Science-Peking University Joint Beijing Astrophysical Center,
Beijing 100087

⁴ Joint Lab of Optical Astronomy, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Shanghai 200030

⁵ Young Astronomical Center, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100871

E-mail: (majun, gxsong, cgshu)@center.shao.ac.cn

Abstract

The normalized inclination distributions are presented for the spiral galaxies in RC3. The results show that, except for the bin of 81° - 90° , in which the apparent minor isophotal diameters that are used to obtain the inclinations, are affected by the central bulges, the distributions for Sa, Sab, Scd and Sd are well consistent with the Monte-Carlo simulation of random inclinations within 3σ , and Sb and Sbc almost, but Sc is different. One reason for the difference between the real distribution and the Monte-Carlo simulation of Sc may be that some quite inclined spirals, the arms of which are inherently loosely wound on the galactic plane and should be classified to Sc galaxies, have been incorrectly classified to the earlier ones, because the tightness of spiral arms which is one of the criteria of the Hubble classification in RC3 is different between on the galactic plane and on the tangent plane of the celestial sphere. Our result also implies that there might exist biases in the luminosity functions of individual Hubble types if spiral galaxies are only classified visually.

^{*}This work is supported by both the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 19603003, and K. C. Wong Education Foundation, Hong Kong.

PACS: 98.52.Nr, 98.62.Ve

A spiral galaxy inherently consists of a halo, bulge and thin disk with spiral structure, which emerges from the central region or the end of a bright bar. Optical images of spirals, which are the projected ones on the tangent plane of the celestial sphere, are dominated by the light coming from stars, as modified by the extinction and reddening of dust. If galaxies with the same morphologies are oriented at different angles of inclination (i.e., the angle between the galactic plane and the tangent plane of the celestial sphere), their images are different. It means that the inclination of a spiral galaxy affects its image, especially, the extent to which the arms are unwound. When a spiral galaxy has a large inclination, its arms would appear tightly coiled on the image even if they should be loosely wound on the galactic plane.

Hubble^{1,2} introduced an early scheme to classify galaxies. Its concepts are still in use, which consists of a sequence starting from elliptical, through lenticular, to spiral galaxies. This scheme has been extended by some astronomers^{3–14} over the years, who try to employ multiple classification criteria. Galaxies originally classified Sc on the Hubble system cover a large interval along the sequence, ranging from regular well-developed arms in early Sc to nearly chaotic structures in very late Sc. de Vaucouleurs^{4,5} introduced Scd, Sd, Sdm, Sm and Im to Sc and SBc. In his classification, Sc class has well-developed arms, and the arms become more and more chaotic and the tightness of spiral arms is not considered any more from Scd to Im. Galaxy morphological classification is still mainly done visually by dedicated astronomers on the images, based on the Hubble’s original scheme and its modification. It is possible for each of observers to give slightly different weights to various criteria, although the criteria for classification are accepted generally by them. Lahav et al.¹⁵ and Naim et al.¹⁶ investigated the consistency of visual morphological classifications of galaxies from six independent observers, and found that individual observers agree with one another with combined rms dispersions of between 1.3 and 2.3 type units, typically about 1.8 units of the revised Hubble numerical type, although there are some cases where the range of types given to it was more than 4 units in width.

In the present letter, we investigate how dependence of spiral galaxies distribution on viewing angles is. The sample adopted in this letter is from the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies by de Vaucouleurs et al.¹⁷ (hereafter, RC3), the Hubble types of which are from Sa to Sd. In RC3, it is complete for galaxies that have apparent diameters at the D_{25} isophotal level larger than 1 arcminute and the total B-band apparent magnitudes B_T brighter than about 15.5, with a redshift not in excess of 15000 kms⁻¹. In order to complete the analyzed sample in the absolute magnitude, we select the galaxies from RC3 that are larger than 1 arcminute at the D_{25} isophotal level, brighter than -20.1 for the absolute B-band magnitudes and limited within a redshift of 10000 kms⁻¹. It can be confirmed that the selected sample within these ranges is complete in both luminosity and space. The adopted values of d_{25}/D_{25} are from 0.2 to 1.0 (including 0.2 and 1.0) for calculating the inclinations (D_{25} and d_{25} are the apparent major and minor isophotal diameters measured at or reduced down to the surface brightness level $\mu_B = 25.0$ B magnitudes per square arcsecond in B-band.). Our

statistical sample contains 2519 spiral galaxies. A Hubble constant of $75 \text{ kms}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ is assumed throughout.

The inclination of a spiral galaxy is not only an important parameter, but also difficult to determine. If we assume that the thickness of the spiral plane is rather inconsiderable compared with its extension and, when a spiral galaxy is inclined moderately to the plane of sky and the thickness of nucleus can be omitted, the inclination may be obtained by

$$\gamma = \arccos\left(\frac{d}{D}\right), \quad (1)$$

where D and d are the apparent major and minor isophotal diameters, respectively. When a spiral galaxy is seen quite edge-on, it is not possible to count with the nuclear part as having a thickness to be left out of consideration. Then, Eq. (1) can not be used to calculate the inclination, because the apparent minor isophotal diameter consists of two parts, one is attributed by the disk and, another by the bulge that decreases the real value of inclination. Considering that the disk is not infinitely thin, Tully¹⁸ corrected Eq. (1) by

$$\gamma = \arccos \sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{d}{D}\right)^2 - 0.2^2\right]/(1 - 0.2^2)} + 3^\circ. \quad (2)$$

The constant of 3° is added in accordance with an empirical recipe. But, when $d/D = 0.2$, Eq. (2) will give a wrong inclination, that is $\gamma = 93^\circ$. The adopted formula for obtaining the inclination of a spiral galaxy in the present letter is

$$\gamma = \arccos \sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{d_{25}}{D_{25}}\right)^2 - 0.2^2\right]/(1 - 0.2^2)} \quad (3)$$

in order to avoid the inclination that is larger than 90° .

Figure 1 plots the normalized inclination distribution for whole spiral galaxies in our sample with bin size of 10° . Open circles present individual values. At the same time, we generate a Monte-Carlo sample of random inclinations for comparison, which are presented by filled circles with the error bars of the solids and dots denoting $1\text{-}\sigma$ and $3\text{-}\sigma$ levels respectively. From this picture, we can see that, except for the bin of $81^\circ\text{-}90^\circ$, the distribution is almost consistent with the Monte-Carlo random distribution within $3\text{-}\sigma$. This means that, in RC3, when the apparent minor isophotal diameters are obtained, the effects of central bulges have not been eliminated for some edge-on spiral galaxies.

Figs. 2-8 plot the normalized inclination distributions for Sa-Sd galaxies with bin size of 10° , respectively. Open circles also denote the values in each bin. In Figs. 2-8, the Monte-Carlo samples of random inclinations are also produced and the error bars have the same meanings as Fig. 1. They show that, except for the bin of $81^\circ\text{-}90^\circ$, the distributions for Sa, Sab, Scd and Sd are well consistent with the Monte-Carlo

simulation of random inclinations within $3\text{-}\sigma$, Sb and Sbc almost. The distribution of Scd is consistent with the Monte-Carlo simulation within $1\text{-}\sigma$ very well. But, the distribution of Sc is quite different from the Monte-Carlo simulation.

Based on the analysis above, we find that, in RC3, except for Scd and Sd, the central bulges of which can be neglected, the effects of central bulges may have not been eliminated for some inclined spiral galaxies when the apparent minor isophotal diameters are obtained. At the same time, the effects of central bulges only can not account for the difference between the real distribution and the Monte-Carlo simulation for Sc, and other factors are needed. A candidate may be that some quite inclined spirals, the arms of which are inherently loosely wound on the galactic plane and should be classified to Sc galaxies, have been incorrectly classified to the earlier ones, because the tightness of spiral arms, which is one of the criteria of the Hubble classification in RC3, is different between on the galactic plane and on the tangent plane of the celestial sphere. Our result also implies that there might exist biases in the luminosity functions of individual Hubble types if spiral galaxies are only classified visually.

References

- ¹ E. P. Hubble, *Astrophys. J.* 64 (1926) 321
- ² E. P. Hubble, *The Realm of the Nebulae* (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, 1936)
- ³ E. Holmberg, *Medd. Lund. Obs.* 136 (1958) 1
- ⁴ G. de Vaucouleurs, *Mem. Commonwealth Obs. (Mount Stromolo)* 13 (1956) 1
- ⁵ G. de Vaucouleurs, *Handbuch der Physik*, 53 (1959) 275
- ⁶ W. W. Morgan, *Pub. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 70 (1958) 364
- ⁷ W. W. Morgan, *Pub. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 71 (1959) 394
- ⁸ S. van der Bergh, *Astrophys. J.* 131 (1960) 215
- ⁹ S. van der Bergh, *Astrophys. J.* 131 (1960) 558
- ¹⁰ S. van der Bergh, *Astrophys. J.* 206 (1976) 883
- ¹¹ A. R. Sandage, *The Hubble Atlas of Galaxies* (Carnegie Institute of Washington Press, Washington, 1961)
- ¹² A. R. Sandage and G. A. Tammann, *A Revised Shapley – Ames Catalog of Galaxies* (Carnegie Institute of Washington Press, Washington, 1981)
- ¹³ A. R. Sandage and G. A. Tammann, *A Revised Shapley – Ames Catalog of Galaxies* (Carnegie Institute of Washington Press, Washington, 1987)
- ¹⁴ A. R. Sandage and J. Bedke, *Carnegie Atlas of Galaxies* (Carnegie Institute of Washington Press, Washington, 1993)
- ¹⁵ O. Lahav et al., *Science* 267 (1995) 859
- ¹⁶ A. Naim et al., *Mon. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 274 (1995) 1107
- ¹⁷ G. de Vaucouleurs et al., *The Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies* (Springer-Verlag Press, New York, 1991) (RC3)
- ¹⁸ R. B. Tully, 1988, *Nearby Galaxies Catalogue* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cam-

bridge, 1988)

Fig. 1. Normalized inclination distribution for whole sample spirals with bin size of 10° . The open and close circles are individual values and a Monte-Carlo simulation of random inclinations. The solid and dotted lines denote $1\text{-}\sigma$ and $3\text{-}\sigma$ levels, respectively.

Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1, but for Sa galaxies.

Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 1, but for Sab galaxies.

Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 1, but for Sb galaxies.

Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 1, but for Sbc galaxies.

Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 1, but for Sc galaxies.

Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 1, but for Scd galaxies.

Fig. 8. Same as Fig. 1, but for Sd galaxies.















